FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:42 AM INDEX NO. 950050/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

# EXHIBIT A

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ARK57 DOE,	lndex No
,	

**SUMMONS** 

v.

ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK; BLESSED SACRAMENT a/k/a CHURCH OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT a/k/a BLESSED SACRAMENT CATHOLIC CHURCH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

## TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Dated: August 14, 2019 New York, New York

/s/ Jeffrey R. Anderson
Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

Email: *Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com* Email: *MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com* 

Patrick Stoneking Nahid A. Shaikh **ROBINS KAPLAN LLP** 399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600

New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 980-7400

Email: PStoneking@RobinsKaplan.com Email: NShaikh@RobinsKaplan.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ARK57 DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK; BLESSED SACRAMENT a/k/a CHURCH OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT a/k/a BLESSED SACRAMENT CATHOLIC CHURCH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Index No. \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

From approximately the years of 1989 through 1990, Father Daniel Calabrase ("Fr. Calabrase") sexually abused Plaintiff as a child. While the abuse occurred, Defendants were generally negligent, they negligently employed Fr. Calabrase, and gave him access to children, including Plaintiff. This lawsuit arises out of Plaintiff's significant damages from that sexual abuse, described below. Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

#### A. Plaintiff

- 1. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff was a student and parishioner at Blessed Sacrament Church and School in Staten Island, New York. At all times material, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.
  - 2 Plaintiff brings this action under a pseudonym with leave of Court.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

B. Defendants

3. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference

includes that entity, its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and

successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of

any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by

or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives while they were

actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of the entity's

business or affairs.

4. At all times material, Defendant Archdiocese of New York ("Archdiocese")

was and continues to be an organization or entity which includes, but is not limited to,

civil corporations, decision making entities, officials, and employees, authorized to

conduct business and conducting business in the State of New York with its principal

place of business at 1011 First Avenue, New York, NY 10022.

5. The Archdiocese was created in approximately 1850. Later, the Archdiocese

created a corporation called the Archdiocese of New York to conduct some of its affairs.

The Archdiocese operates its affairs as both a corporate entity and as the organization

known as the Archdiocese of New York. Both of these entities and all other affiliated

corporations and entities controlled by the Archbishop are included in this Complaint as

the "Archdiocese." The Archdiocese functions as a business by engaging in numerous

revenue producing activities and soliciting money from its members in exchange for its

services.

6. The Archdiocese has several programs that seek out the participation of

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

children including, but not limited to, schools and other educational programs. The

Archdiocese, through its officials, has complete control over those activities and

programs involving children. The Archdiocese has the power to appoint, train, supervise,

monitor, remove, and terminate each and every person working with children within the

Archdiocese.

7. At all times material, Blessed Sacrament a/k/a Church of the Blessed

Sacrament a/k/a Blessed Sacrament Catholic Church ("Blessed Sacrament") was and

continues to be an organization authorized to conduct business and conducting business

in the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 30 Manor Road, Staten

Island, NY 10310. Blessed Sacrament Church includes, but is not limited to, Blessed

Sacrament Church and any other organizations and/or entities operating under the same

or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business.

8. At all times material, Blessed Sacrament was and continues to be under the

direct authority, control, and province of Defendant Archdiocese and the Archbishop of

Defendant Archdiocese. Defendant Blessed Sacrament includes any school affiliated with

Blessed Sacrament Church. At all times material, Defendant Blessed Sacrament was

under the direct authority, control, and province of Defendant Archdiocese and the

Archbishop of Defendant Archdiocese. At all times material, Defendants Blessed

Sacrament and Archdiocese owned, operated, managed, maintained, and controlled

Blessed Sacrament.

9. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are unknown agents whose identities will be

provided when they become known pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 1024.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

**JURISDICTION** 

10. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendants'

principal places of business are in New York and because the unlawful conduct

complained of herein occurred in New York.

11. Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 503 in that New York County is the

principal place of business of Defendant Archdiocese. In addition, many of the events

giving rise to this action occurred in New York County.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** 

A. Background

12 The hierarchy of the Roman Catholic Church and, by implication these

Defendants, have been aware of the serious problem of clergy sexual abuse of children

since at least the 1800s.

13. Further, Roman Catholic Church officials, including these Defendants, have

used their power and influence to prevent victims and their families from disclosing

allegations of abuse.

14. Additionally, Plaintiff's relationship to Defendants and Fr. Calabrase, as a

vulnerable child and participant in activities at Blessed Sacrament was one in which

Plaintiff was subject to the ongoing influence of Defendants and Fr. Calabrase, Plaintiff's

abuser.

B. Specific Allegations

15. At all times material, Fr. Calabrase was a Roman Catholic cleric employed

by the Archdiocese and Blessed Sacrament. Fr. Calabrase remained under the direct

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

supervision, employ, and control of Defendants.

16. Defendants placed Fr. Calabrase in positions where he had access to and

worked with children as an integral part of his work.

17. Plaintiff was raised in a devout Roman Catholic family and attended

Blessed Sacrament in Staten Island, in the Archdiocese. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family

came in contact with Fr. Calabrase as an agent and representative of Defendants, and at

Blessed Sacrament Church.

18. Plaintiff, as a youth, participated in activities at Blessed Sacrament.

Plaintiff, therefore, developed great admiration, trust, reverence, and respect for the

Roman Catholic Church, including Defendants and their agents, including Fr. Calabrase.

During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on Defendants and Fr. Calabrase. Defendants had custody of Plaintiff and

accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for Plaintiff and

authority over Plaintiff.

19. From approximately 1989 to 1990, when Plaintiff was approximately 13 to

14 years old, Fr. Calabrase engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

### **COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE**

20. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-19 above.

21. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the

Plaintiff from injury.

22. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of care because each Defendant had

a special relationship with Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

23. Defendants also had a duty arising from the special relationship that existed with Plaintiff, Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, innocent, vulnerable children in the Archdiocese of New York to properly train and supervise its clerics. This special relationship arose because of the high degree of vulnerability of the children entrusted to their care. As a result of this high degree of vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship, Defendants had a duty to establish measures of protection not necessary for persons who are older and better able to safeguard themselves.

24. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because each Defendant also had a special relationship with Fr. Calabrase.

25. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they solicited youth and parents for participation in their youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have the youth participate in their programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for children; held their agents, including Fr. Calabrase, out as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with their agents; and/or encouraged their agents, including Fr. Calabrase, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.

26. By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, Defendants established an *in loco parentis* relationship with Plaintiff and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from injury. Further, Defendants entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff by undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendants undertaking the care and

guidance of the Plaintiff, Defendants also held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

Further, Defendants, by holding themselves out as being able to provide a safe

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment.

Defendants, through its employees, exploited this power over Plaintiff and, thereby, put

the minor Plaintiff at risk for sexual abuse.

27. By establishing and/or operating the Archdiocese and Blessed Sacrament,

accepting the minor Plaintiff as a participant in their programs, holding their facilities

and programs out to be a safe environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor

Plaintiff in loco parentis, and by establishing a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff,

Defendants entered into an express and/or implied duty to properly supervise Plaintiff

and provide a reasonably safe environment for children, who participated in their

programs. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent

harm from foreseeable dangers. Defendants had the duty to exercise the same degree of

care over minors under their control as a reasonably prudent person would have

exercised under similar circumstances.

28. By establishing and operating the Archdiocese and Blessed Sacrament,

which offered educational programs to children and which may have included a school,

and by accepting the enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff as a participant

in those educational programs, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise

Plaintiff to prevent harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

29. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

because Defendants invited Plaintiff onto their property and Fr. Calabrase posed a

dangerous condition on Defendants' property.

30. Each Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendants failed to use

ordinary care in determining whether their facilities were safe and/or determining

whether they had sufficient information to represent their facilities as safe. Defendants'

breach of their duties include, but are not limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a

known danger, failure to have sufficient policies and procedures in place to prevent child

sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to prevent child sex

abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to ensure that policies and procedures to

prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and children

of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child molestation, failure to

properly train the employees at institutions and programs within Defendants'

geographical confines, failure to train the minors within Defendants' geographical

confines about the dangers of sexual abuse by clergy, failure to have any outside agency

test their safety procedures, failure to protect the children in their programs from child

sex abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to

investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions,

programs, leaders and people as safe, failure to train their employees properly to identify

signs of child molestation by fellow employees, failure by relying upon mental health

professionals, and/or failure by relying on people who claimed that they could treat child

molesters.

31. Defendants also breached their duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

and Plaintiff's family of the risk that Fr. Calabrase posed and the risks of child sexual abuse in Catholic institutions. They also failed to warn them about any of the knowledge that Defendants had about child sexual abuse.

- 32 Defendants additionally violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or suspected abuse of children by Fr. Calabrase and/or its other agents to the police and law enforcement.
- 33. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have learned that Fr. Calabrase was not fit to work with children. Defendants, by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of Fr. Calabrase's propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about whether or not their leaders and people working at Blessed Sacrament and other Catholic institutions within the Archdiocese of New York were safe.
- 34. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Archdiocese. At the very least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Archdiocese.
- 35. Defendants knew or should have known that Defendants had numerous agents who had sexually molested children. Defendants knew or should have known that child molesters have a high rate of recidivism. They knew or should have known that there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children participating in their youth

INDEX NO. 950050/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

programs.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

36. However, despite this knowledge, Defendants negligently deemed that Fr.

Calabrase was fit to work with children; and/or that any previous suitability problems

Fr. Calabrase had were fixed and cured; and/or that Fr. Calabrase would not sexually

molest children; and/or that Fr. Calabrase would not injure children.

37. Defendants' actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a

vulnerable child participating in the programs and activities Defendants offered to

minors, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. Additionally, as a vulnerable child who Fr.

Calabrase had access to through Defendants' facilities and programs, Plaintiff was a

foreseeable victim.

As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, 38.

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants.

**COUNT II: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION OF EMPLOYEES** 

39. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-38 above.

40. At all times material, Fr. Calabrase was employed by Defendants and was

under each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein. Fr. Calabrase engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting

in the course and scope of his employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the

sexual abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

41. Defendants had a duty, arising from their employment of Fr. Calabrase, to

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:42 AM INDEX NO. 950050/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

ensure that he did not sexually molest children.

42 Further, Defendants owed a duty to train and educate employees and

administrators and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated

to detect, prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and conduct between clerics and

children.

43. Defendants were negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of

their employees. Defendants failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise,

and/or monitor their agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that

should be followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed. Defendants

were additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or

investigate Fr. Calabrase and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules,

policies, procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Fr. Calabrase's sexual abuse of

Plaintiff. In failing to properly supervise Fr. Calabrase, and in failing to establish such

training procedures for employees and administrators, Defendants failed to exercise the

degree of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar

circumstances.

44. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants in the training and/or supervising of its employees.

**COUNT III: NEGLIGENT RETENTION OF EMPLOYEES** 

45. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-44 above.

COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:42

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

46. At all times material, Fr. Calabrase was employed by Defendants and was under each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the wrongful acts alleged herein.

- 47. Defendants negligently retained Fr. Calabrase with knowledge of Fr. Calabrase's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action. Defendants failed to investigate Fr. Calabrase's past and/or current history of sexual abuse and, through the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have known of Fr. Calabrase's propensity for child sexual abuse. Defendants should have made an appropriate investigation of Fr. Calabrase and failed to do so. An appropriate investigation would have revealed the unsuitability of Fr. Calabrase for continued employment and it was unreasonable for Defendants to retain Fr. Calabrase in light of the information they knew or should have known.
- 48. Defendants negligently retained Fr. Calabrase in a position where he had access to children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendants taken reasonable care.
- 49. In failing to timely remove Fr. Calabrase from working with children or terminate the employment of Fr. Calabrase, Defendants failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.
- 50. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of Defendants in the retention of its employees.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 950050/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for

judgment against Defendants in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff

for Plaintiff's injuries and damages and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all

lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable. Pursuant to §4 of the New

York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

Dated: August 14, 2019

New York, New York

/s/ Jeffrey R. Anderson

Jeffrey R. Anderson

J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

Email: Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com Email: MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com

Patrick Stoneking

Nahid A. Shaikh

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 980-7400

Email: PS to neking @Robins Kaplan.com

Email: NShaikh@RobinsKaplan.com

Counsel for Plaintiff